Case 1:19-cr-00227-JLS-MJR Document 95 Filed 03/04/21 Page 1 of 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 19-CR-227-01-JLS-MJR v. JOSEPH BONGIOVANNI, Defendant. ORDER REGARDING USE OF VIDEO OR TELECONFERENCING In accordance with the General Order issued on December 8, 2020, this Court finds: Defendant \_\_X\_\_\_That the has consented the of video to use teleconferencing/teleconferencing to conduct the proceeding(s) held today, after consultation with counsel; and Accordingly, the proceeding(s) held on this date may be conducted by: \_\_X\_\_\_Video Teleconferencing \_Teleconferencing, because video teleconferencing is not reasonably available for the following reason: \_\_\_\_\_The Defendant is detained at a facility lacking video teleconferencing capability. Other: Defendant did not have access to a video conferencing device. IT IS SO ORDERED. March 4, 2021 DATED: Buffalo, NY /s/ Michael J. Roemer

> MICHAEL J. ROEMER U.S. Magistrate Judge

Case 1:19-cr-00227-JLS-MJR Document 95 Filed 03/04/21 Page 2 of 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 19-CR-227-03-JLS-MJR v. PETER GERACE, JR., Defendant. ORDER REGARDING USE OF VIDEO OR TELECONFERENCING In accordance with the General Order issued on December 8, 2020, this Court finds: Defendant \_\_X\_\_\_That the has consented of video to the use teleconferencing/teleconferencing to conduct the proceeding(s) held today, after consultation with counsel; and Accordingly, the proceeding(s) held on this date may be conducted by: \_\_X\_\_\_Video Teleconferencing \_Teleconferencing, because video teleconferencing is not reasonably available for the following reason: \_\_\_\_\_The Defendant is detained at a facility lacking video teleconferencing capability. Other: Defendant did not have access to a video conferencing device. IT IS SO ORDERED. March 4, 2021 DATED: Buffalo, NY /s/ Michael J. Roemer MICHAEL J. ROEMER

U.S. Magistrate Judge